

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/681,077	10/07/2003	David Hodess	562072000300	6231	
7590 03/29/2005			EXAMINER		
David T. Yang			BUI, LUAN KIM		
Morrison & Foe	erster LLP				
35th Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
555 W. 5th Street			3728		
Los Angeles, CA 90013			DATE MAIL ED. 02/00/0006		

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	> I	
\checkmark	w	
D	11	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/681,077	HODESS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Luan K Bui	3728		

Defens the Filing of an Annual Duist		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luan K Bui	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	lment, affidavit, or other evidence, veal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR of the reply must be filed within one of the complex of the reply must be filed within one of the complex of the reply must be filed within one of the complex of the reply must be filed within one of the reply must be filed w	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	cation in st for Continued
b) The period for reply expiresmortus from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	· ·	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approximate Street Stre	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
AMENDMENTS	,		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered and necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a i).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		Luan K Bui Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3728	

*Continuation of 3. NOTE: New issues are raised by the amendments to claims 1 and 9 i.e. in claim 1 at lines 7-8 and 10 and in claim 9 at lines 7-8 and 10 which would require further consideration and/or search .